1		JUDGE BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR12-391BAT
11	Plaintiff,))) DEFENDANT'S SENTENCING
12 13	vs.) MEMORANDUM	
14	CHARLES E WRIGHT, JR.	
15	Defendant.	
16	I. Introduction	
17	Charles Wright pleaded guilty to one count of Delay or Destruction of Mail, a	
18	Class A misdemeanor. The Court is scheduled to sentence Mr. Wright on April 10,	
19	2013, at 10 a.m. In this case, the goals of sentencing can be achieved with a sentence of	
20	two years of probation and a \$500 fine.	
21	II. Background	
22	Mr. Wright is 57 years old, and this case marks his first – and last – involvement	
23	with the criminal justice system. By all accounts, he has led a healthy and productive	
24	life. He and his wife, Donna, have been married for nearly 31 years, and they have lived	
25	in the same home for nearly all of those years. They spend their free time going for	
26		
		FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDE

walks, watching movies, and having picnics. Together they raised two sons, Dominic and Perris, both of whom live in the Tacoma area. Mr. Wright sees and talks to his sons on a weekly basis. He looks forward to coming clean to his sons about this case, but is waiting to do so until he knows his punishment so he does not burden them with any uncertainty.

After serving in the United States Army for a few years as a maintenance clerk and battalion mail clerk, Mr. Wright joined the United States Postal Service. He worked for the USPS for approximately 34 years. At some point during the last couple of years, Mr. Wright began opening mail looking for cash. He was confronted on July 26, 2012, by Special Agents from the United States Postal Service, and quickly confessed. He retired from the Postal Service in September 2012.

Mr. Wright still does not understand why he committed this crime.

As I sit here today, I really don't have a reason for the crime I committed other than straight up STUPIDITY, not realizing at the time all of the people I would be hurting. I would say it was just a crime of opportunity to take something even though I knew it was wrong. At times I sit and wonder about not the few things that I gained (if any), but the so many things and opportunities that I have lost from being so stupid in judgment.

Ex. A. His escalating use of marijuana may have contributed to his impaired decision making, but Mr. Wright has been able to stop using marijuana without difficulty or assistance.

While he does not understand the cause of his actions, he is certain of both its consequences and his future. He writes in his letter to the Court, "I offer no excuses for my conduct and fully accept responsibility for the improper decisions and mistakes that I have made. In my heart I just hope my ex-coworkers and great customers can remember me for the things that I did right. I had the opportunity to work for a great organization for 35 years." *Id.* The experience of being investigated and then prosecuted was totally foreign to Mr. Wright and his wife. Although he quickly pleaded guilty and has done FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFE

1

4

7

13

10

17 18

19

16

20 21

23

24

22

25

26

everything required of him while on pretrial services supervision, this process has not been without embarrassment and discomfort. See, e.g. Release Status Report; Ex. B (letter of Donna Wright).

Since leaving the Postal Service, Mr. Wright has started refereeing high school and recreational basketball games and umpiring softball and baseball games. He also hopes to begin a yard business to supplement his retirement benefits and his wife's paraeducator salary. More simply, as he explained to the Probation Officer, he "plan[s] to be a good boy and do the right thing."

III. **Advisory Guidelines**

The defense agrees with the guidelines calculation set forth in the presentence report. The range of imprisonment is zero to six months, with a sentence of probation allowed. The range of fine is \$500 to \$5000.

IV. **Sentencing Recommendation**

Mr. Wright asks the Court to impose a sentence of two years of probation and a \$500 fine, the low-end of the advisory guideline range.

Mr. Wright has lost his job, been convicted of a federal crime, and suffered the humbling and difficult process of being prosecuted. Although he was allowed to keep his pension, a decision for which he is enormously grateful, the loss of his full income is significant. His wife works as a para-educator and earns about \$700 every two weeks for her work. Mr. Wright and his family will continue to feel the financial cost of his crime for years, regardless of the amount of fine imposed by the Court.

Moreover, for the next two years, he will also be subject to substantial restrictions on his liberty. Even standard conditions of probation involve substantial restrictions of liberty. Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38, 48 (2007). "Inherent in the very nature of probation is that probationers 'do not enjoy the absolute liberty to which every citizen is entitled." Id., quoting United States v. Knights, 534 U.S. 112, 119

(2001) (internal quotations omitted). As the district court judge in Gall explained, 1 [The defendant] will have to comply with strict reporting 2 conditions along with a . . . regime of alcohol and drug 3 testing. He will not be able to change or make decisions about significant circumstances in his life, such as where to live or work, which are prized liberty interests, without first 4 seeking authorization from his Probation Officer or, perhaps, even the Court. Of course, the Defendant always faces the 5 harsh consequences that await if he violates the conditions of his probationary term. 6 Gall, 552 U.S. at 44 (quoting district court's sentencing memorandum). 7 8 Under the circumstances of this case, a sentence of two years of probation and a 9 \$500 fine (in addition to the restitution order and mandatory special assessment) is sufficient to punish Mr. Wright for his poor, inexplicable choices, and to provide him 10 11 with the chance to prove that he is the good person his history suggests. Such punishment is also sufficient to achieve the goals of promoting respect for the law and 12 deterring others from committing such offenses. 13 14 DATED this 4th day of April 2013. Respectfully submitted, 15 s/Corey Endo 16 WSBA No. 34270 Attorney for Charles Wright, Jr. 17 Federal Public Defender 18 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle WA 98101 206/553-1100 voice 19 206/553-0120 facsimile Corey Endo@fd.org 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I hereby certify that on April 4, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing with the 3 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing 4 to the following: 5 Kate Vaughan Assistant United States Attorney 6 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101 7 8 and I further certify that I have emailed the document to the following non CM/ECF 9 participants: 10 Lisa Combs **United States Probation Officer** 11 700 Stewart Street, Suite 11101 Seattle, WA 98101 12 13 s/Karen A. Crawford 14 Paralegal Federal Public Defender 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 15 Seattle, WA 98101 206/553-1100 voice 16 206/553-0120 facsimile 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26